

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/623,882 07/21/2003 Kouichi Miyamoto AA-600 5166 EXAMINER 27752 02/24/2006 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY OSELE, MARK A INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE 1734 CINCINNATI, OH 45224

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Ar	olication No. Applicant(s)		
		10	0/623,882	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
		Ma	ark A. Osele	1734	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. ttory period will ap II, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice)∏ This acti or allowance	,		merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8, 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	ewithdrawn from and/or electrons. Examiner. a) accepterion to the drawner correction is	rom consideration. ection requirement. ed or b) objected to by the Exing(s) be held in abeyance. Sees required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •
•	·	,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/623,882 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Justmann in view of French Patent Publication 2,810,537 (Brutin) and Jacobs et al. Justmann shows a method of forming a plurality of pairs of fastening members comprising the steps of: making a continuous fastening composite web comprising two longitudinally extending first substrates, 74, 76, and a longitudinally extending second substrate, 34, therebetween; providing a longitudinally extending first fastening material. 24, adhered to the second substrate (Figs. 3, 4); cutting the continuous fastening composite web along a continuous cut line, 114, 115, comprising a plurality of repeating patterns, each of the patterns extending from one panel region through the first fastening material to reach the other panel region and returning to the one panel region again through the fastening material (Fig. 3); cutting each of the continuous fastening members across the panel region at a cut line, 64, thereby forming a plurality of pairs of fastening members, each fastening member comprising the base panel and the tab (Fig. 3). Justmann fails to show the first fastening material to comprise two spaced fastening materials.

Brutin shows a method of forming a plurality of pairs of fastening members wherein a second substrate between a pair of first substrates has a pair of spaced longitudinally extending first fastening materials, 18, 19 (Page 5, line 34 to page 6, line 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the single fastening material of Justmann with the pair of spaced fastening materials of Brutin because the two methods are shown to be functional equivalents of each other for making pairs of fastening members. The references as combined fail to show a second fastening material at the gap region.

Page 3

Jacobs et al. teaches that between a pair of spaced fastening materials, 30, 32, a second fastening material, 28, with a lowered density of fastening elements (column 7, line 41 to column 8, line 2) can be added to reduce the fastening strength of the fastening members at their outer edges (column 8, lines 3-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the second fastening material with a lowered density of fastening elements as shown by Jacobs et al. onto the second substrate of method of the references as combined because Jacobs et al. shows this different fastening material can lower the bond strength at the edge of the resultant fastening members without lowering the bond strength of the fastening members to each other. This configuration has the advantage of making it easier for a user to grasp the edge region of the fastening member when it is desired to disconnect the fastening members.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,882 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

Regarding claim 2, Justmann further shows the two first substrates are fabricated by slitting a single substrate web into two longitudinally substrates and spacing the two longitudinally extending first substrates (column 6, line 61 to column 7, line 19).

Regarding claim 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to slit a first fastening member and laterally spacing the two first fastening members because Justmann teaches that slitting and separating of single substrate can easily supply a pair of spaced longitudinally extending webs.

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Justmann shows the first fastening materials to be joined to the second substrate which comprises the gap region.

Regarding claim 7, the panel region of Justmann comprises the first substrate.

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Justmann in view of French Patent Publication 2,810,537 (Brutin) and Jacobs et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Roessler et al. Roessler et al. shows a method of making fastening members wherein a stiffening material, 154, 156, is bonded to both the first and second substrates (column 23, lines 32-68) to provide for stress beam sections on the individual fastening members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the first fastening material of the references as combined in this orientation to the first substrate in order to provide for stress beams as taught by Roessler et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,882

Art Unit: 1734

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Justmann in view of French Patent Publication 2,810,537 (Brutin) and Jacobs et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Long et al. Long et al. shows a method of making fastening members wherein the second substrate has a higher stiffness than the first substrate in order to reduce undesired pop-opens (column 4, lines 24-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the second substrate of the references as combined with a higher stiffness than the first substrate because Long et al. teaches that this reduces undesired pop-opens.

Page 5

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Justmann in view of French Patent Publication 2,810,537 (Brutin) and Jacobs et al. as
applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Melbye et al. Melbye et al. teaches that
a second fastening material comprising an adhesive can be placed between spaced
apart first fastening materials comprising mechanical fasteners (column 5, lines 11-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention
was made to replace the second mechanical fastening material of the references as
combined with an adhesive fastening material because Melbye et al. shows this to be a
functionally equivalent alternate expedient to two different mechanical fastening
materials.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Osele whose telephone number is 571-272-1235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,882 Page 7

Art Unit: 1734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARK A. OSELE PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 21, 2006